UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
- 10/795,837	03/08/2004	James E. Grimm	ZIM0412	1282
7590 01/24/2008 John F. Hoffman, Esq.			EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS LLP			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
Suite 800 111 East Wayn	e Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Wayne, IN 46802			3733	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	•		01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Ý	\mathcal{X}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/795,837	GRIMM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Comstock	3733	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 € 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditio	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal ma		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-21 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in brity documents have been its (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 30 October 2007.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

10/795,837 Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritland et al. (US 2003/0220689).

Ritland et al. disclose the claimed invention, including an implant engaging member (e.g. 10, 20) and a reference member (30) trackable by a navigation system (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 0004-0017). The engaging member is at least capable of engaging a stem of an orthopedic implant. Ritland et al. do not explicitly recite the means for adjustability or the type of implant and inserter. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided means for adjustability, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954). It also would have been obvious to have substituted a rod that can lock to a stemmed implant inasmuch as Ritland discloses the use of implants and insertion tools in the channel of the device, and substituting a rod-like tool that locks to a stemmed implant would have would have amounted merely to a substitution of functionally equivalent tool and implant structures known in the art. Such a

10/795,837 Art Unit: 3733

configuration is merely one of numerous known arrangements that could be expected to be employed with such a device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 18 is allowed.

Claims 6-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 30 October 2007 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number:

10/795,837

Art Unit: 3733

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock